

Please add this complexity matrix to the Judging Guidelines. ~Maedb, Karl, Imperial Crown. August 1, 2002

<b>Scope of Work Matrix</b>		<b>Chain (Metal)</b>
<b>Points</b>	<b>Descriptions and examples</b>	
<b>1</b>	A simple chain necklace or bracelet	
<b>2</b>	Simple vest (no sleeves)	
	Simple collar of state (box link)	
<b>3</b>	Child-size halberk (chain shirt with sleeves)	
<b>4</b>	Adult-size halberk (chain shirt with sleeves)	
<b>5</b>	Box coif (includes expansion ring at top, opening for face)	
<b>6</b>	Bishop's mantle (includes expansion shaping for shoulders)	
	Simple leggings (straight legs, open crotch)	
<b>7</b>	Leggings with tapered legs, open crotch (or straight legs, connected crotch)	
	Full coif (includes expansion ring at top, opening for face, expansion shaping for shoulders)	
<b>8</b>	Leggings with tapered legs, connected crotch	
<b>9</b>	Combination of two items not including jewelry (for example, halberk and coif)	
<b>10</b>	Combination of three items not including jewelry (for example, coif, vest and leggings)	
<b>Bonus</b>	<p>Points may be added to base scores for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Riveted chain</li> <li>▪ Dagged edging</li> <li>▪ Extremely small links (1/8" or 3/16")</li> <li>▪ Designs worked into the design (using different metals, for instance)</li> </ul> <p><i>Note: There is no significant difficulty difference between hacksawn links, and wire-cut links. There is arguably a difference in the finished product, but that belongs in craftsmanship, not complexity.</i></p>	
<b>Pattern</b>	<p>Points should be added for the pattern used in the chain:</p> <p>0 points for simple chain            1 point for 4-in-1 pattern            2 points for "bird-cage" pattern (as seen in many collars of state)            2 points for 6-in-1 pattern (Middle-Eastern garments only)            3 points for 8-in-1 pattern (Middle-Eastern garments only)</p>	