APPENDIX A:

PROPOSED ARTS JUDGING FORMS

PRESENTED FOR CRB8: MARCH 2006 IMPERIAL ESTATES MEETING

© 2006 The Adrian Empire Inc., all rights reserved.

Empress@adrianempire.org

Emperor emperor@adrianempire.org

Judging Score Sheet -With Matrix

D :		T .							
Region:		Location	Capital Canton		Date:				
Entry:					Entry #	‡ :			
List:	Journeyman	☐ Knight's	Judge's Oath Administered:	Yes					
Artisan's N	ame:		Judge's Name:						
AUTHENT	ICITY	<u>'</u>							
If the follow	ving critoria are n	ot met then the to	roject will be withdrawn						
If the following criteria are not met then the project will be withdrawn. Is the general concept for the entry appropriate to the Adrian context and would it have been found in Europe during the time period of 1066 – 1603?							es		No
						Potenti			Points warded
1. Are the	materials, subjec	t or performance	appropriate in a single period or culture?			1	i		
 Are the <u>materials, subject or performance</u> appropriate in a single period or culture? If there are <u>modern materials used</u> do they provide a period effect? 									
 3. If there are modern constructions techniques used do they provide a period effect? 4. Are the design elements, style & function of the entry appropriate and correct to a single period or culture? 									
	_	•		turer		1			
5. Are the	finishing and dec	corative details a	ppropriate and correct for the entry?			1			
				То	tal:	5			
				Bonus Po	int:	1			
					Authen	ticity To	otal:		
Comments:									
DOCUMEN	NTATION_								
1. Is there	enough informat	ion provided to d	letermine if the entry would have existed in period?			1			
2. Was the entry <u>made using materials</u> that would have been used in period?						1			
3. Was the	entry made with	techniques that v	vere used in period?			1	Ti		
	•					1/2	i		
4. Did the artisan explain the materials used to make this entry?									
5. Were the <u>techniques used</u> to create/perform this entry documented? 6. Did the artisan <u>explain the rational</u> for choosing the substitutions used to make this entry?						1/2			
				" C 1 . 1	1				
or palatable		lescribe if the entr	y was what the populace would have found attractive, "in-styl			1/2			
					tal:	5			
	Bonus Point:				int:	1			
	Documentation Total								
Comments:									
COMPLEX	ITY/DIFFICULT	Y (see appropriate	matrix)						
The comple	exity score can be a	as high as 13 but 1	to higher.						
	•	_	points. If the score works out to be 13 then the bonus points	do not apply	V.				
		г	P		tal:	10			
				Bonus Po		3			
							1		
				Complexi	ty/Dim	cuity 1	otai:		
Comments:									
	ANSHIP/FUNCT								
Only use B	onus Point when t	ne score 1s 10 and	the entry warrants the bonus.						
					tal:	10			
Bonus Point:						1			
			Crafts	smanship/F	unction	nality To	otal:		
Comments:									
	GRAND TOTAL FOR ENTRY:								
RECOMMI	ENDATIONS								
	rk (Score of 30 or hig	ther) • 3 primary s	ources required			Yes	s		No
1.1.1.0.001	100000 01 70 01 1168	> pinimy s					-	. —	

White Copy – Minister Yellow Copy - Artisan

Judging Score Sheet – Without Matrix

Region:	Location: Capital	Date:							
Entry:				Entry #:					
List:	☐ Journeyman ☐ Knight's	Judge's Oath Administered:	Yes						
Artisan's Pers	Artisan's Persona Name: Artisan's Mundane Name:								
Judge's Perso									
A & S Minist									
AUTHENT	<u>ICITY</u>	-							
If the follows Is d	☐ Yes	□ No							
	uring the time period of 1066-1603?			Potential Points	Points Awarded				
1. If there are	1								
2. Are the m	1								
3. If there are	1								
4. Are the de	1								
5. Are the fir	1								
		Available	Bonus Point:	1					
			Total:	6					
Comments:									
DOCUMEN	TATION								
	tist provide sources and document the materials used in period to	create this entry?		1					
	tist provide sources and document the techniques used in period to			1					
3. Did the ar		1							
4. Did the ar	1								
	1								
or Dia die al	tist explain the period aesthetic of the entry? (i.e. style, color, taste		Bonus Point:	1					
		11141141122	Total:	6					
Comments:				1					
COMPLEX	TTY/DIFFICULTY								
1. Is the entr	1								
2. Did the ar	1								
3. Was the so	1								
4. Were the i	1								
5. Were the t	1								
6. Did the er	1								
7. Was the en	1								
	atry require multiple elements to achieve a cohesive piece? reat deal of time be required to complete this project based on mo			1					
		1							
10. Did the a	1								
		Available l	Bonus Points:	3					
C			Total:	13					
Comments:									
EXECUTIO	ON/CRAFTSMANSHIP/FUNCTIONALITY								
1. Was the en	ntry made well?			2					
2. Does the	entry function with respect to its historical purpose?			2					
3. Is period o	2								
4. If substitu	2								
5. Did the ar	2								
		Available	Bonus Point:	1					
	11								
Comments:									
FINAL TOTAL:									
	ENDATIONS (Will be awarded at the judge's discretion and unanimous	s agreement.)							
Master Work	(Score of 30 or higher) • 3 primary sources required			Yes	☐ No				

White Copy – Minister Yellow Copy - Artisan